



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. 08/509,966	FILING DATE 08/01/95	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR DIPOTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 001677174001
-------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------

JOEL E. PETROW
SMITH AND NEWPHEW NORTH AMERICA
1450 BROOKS ROAD
MEMPHIS TN 38116

33M1/0612

SHAI, D	EXAMINER
---------	----------

ART UNIT 3309	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 06/12/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/509,966

Applicant(s)

DiPoto et al.

Examiner

Daphna Shai

Group Art Unit

3309



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daphna Shai (3) _____

(2) Peter J. Devlin (4) _____

Date of Interview May 14, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner confirmed that a substitute oath or declaration is not needed and the corresponding box in the notice of allowability was erroneously marked.

Also, examiner confirmed that the formal drawings filed May 24, 1994 are acceptable (as indicated in the notice of allowability) and new formal drawings are not required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP, Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.